found that the structure of these compounds depends on the nature and the position of the substituents.

It must be noted that our calculated values for the azophenol and the quinonehydrazone forms given by the procedure similar to that described in the previous paper, are in serious disagreement with those given by Pascal<sup>4)</sup> and Pacault<sup>5)</sup>. As an example, our value for the azophenol form of 1-phenylazo-2-naphthol -146.7  $\times 10^{-6}$  is appreciably smaller than -154 $\times 10^{-6}$  given by the French workers, and the value for the quinonehydrazone form  $-135.8\times10^{-6}$  estimated by use of the observed value for quinone monoxime is remarkably larger than  $-124.8 \times 10^{-6}$  given by Pascal and  $-130.8 \times 10^{-6}$  by Pacault. However, the experimental results seem to support our estimations. The observed values of the molar susceptibility of 1phenylazo-2-naphthol and its o-methyl-, mnitro- and p-nitro-derivatives are in accordance with the calculated values for the quinonehyrazone form (I), but pmethyl-, o-methoxy-, o-chloro- and pchloroderivatives are found to exist in the azophenol form (II) in the solid state.

The effect of substituents on the equilibria between these two tautomeric forms in various solutions was examined by Burawoy and his collaborators<sup>6)</sup>. According to their conclusion, the concentration of the quinonehydrazone tautomers increases in the order of substituents, p-Me <H $\sim p$ -Cl<o-Me<o-Cl<m-NO $_2$ <o-MeO<p-NO2. In contrast to the above series, our results suggest that MeO, Me and Cl substituted both in the ortho- and parapositions favor the azophenol form, and methyl group in the ortho-position is not so effective as that in the para-position.

## Diamagnetic Susceptibilities of Azoderivatives of 2-Naphthol

By Yoshio Matsunaga

(Received February 28, 1957)

In the previous paper1), the procedure to estimate the molar susceptibilities of the azophenol and the quinonehydrazone forms of hydroxyazobenzene was presented. We applied it to twenty compounds and concluded that they are all in the azophenol form in the solid state. Continuing this study, we measured the diamagnetic susceptibilities of eight azoderivatives of 2-naphthol. All specimens were purified finally by sublimation in vacuo. As shown in the Table, we

<sup>1)</sup> Y. Matsunaga, This Bulletin, 29, 308 (1956).

<sup>2)</sup> Y. Matsunaga, ibid., 29, 969 (1956).

<sup>3)</sup> P. Pascal, Bull. soc. chim. France, 9, 177 (1911).

<sup>4)</sup> P. Pascal, Ann. chim. phys. 25, 289 (1912).
5) A. Pacault and J. Chauvelier, Bull. soc. chim. France, 17, 367 (1950).

<sup>6)</sup> A. Burawoy, A. G. Salem, and A. R. Thompson, J. Chem. Soc., 1952, 4793.

TABLE

DIAMAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITIES OF AZO-DERIVATIVES OF 2-NAPHTHOL

Substance $(-\chi \cdot 10^6)$ Obs. Calc. (I) Calc. (II) Lit.	
00.00	
β-Naphthoquinone-1-oxime — — 83.9 <sup>2</sup>	
2-Naphthol — — — 97.0 <sup>33</sup>	
1-Phenylazo-2-naphthol 0.554 137.6 135.8 146.7 140.74, 13	5.5 <sup>5</sup> )
1-o-Tolylazo-2-naphthol 0.567 148.7 146.4 157.3 —	
1-p-Tolylazo-2-naphthol 0.601 157.6 146.4 157.3 —	
1-o-Methoxyphenylazo-2-naphthol 0.588 163.6 152.2 164.1 —	
1-o-Chlorophenylazo-2-naphthol 0.570 161.0 150.6 161.5 —	
1-p-Chlorophenylazo-2-naphthol 0.571 161.4 150.6 161.5 —	
1-m-Nitrophenylazo-2-naphthol 0.484 142.0 142.4 153.3 —	
1-p-Nitrophenylazo-2-naphthol 0.483 141.7 142.4 153.3 —	

In the solid state, the effect of substituents appears to be not necessarily similar to that observed by Burawoy on the tautomeric equilibria in the dissolved state.

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor H. Akamatu for his kind direction.

Department of Chemistry
Faculty of Science
The University of Tokyo
Hongo, Tokyo